

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Gurmail Singh Sekhon,
S/o Harbans Singh,
Village Lande Rode,
PO Mangat Ker,
Tehsil & District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Sub Divisional Officer,
POWERCOM,
Lubhaniawali
District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Complaint Case No. 770 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Complainant) Absent

Manpreet Singh, Brar (for the Respondent) 96461-14603

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 3.3.2021)

1. The complainant, Gurmail Singh, filed this RTI application dated **30.7.2020** and sought information **regarding tube well connections operating in the names of Kuldeep Singh s/o Hardyal Singh and Baljeet Singh s/o Hardyal Singh in Village Landa Roda**, from the PIO o/o **Sub Division Officer, POWERCOM, Lubhaniawali, Sri Muktsar Sahib**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a Complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **8.10.2020**. The case was last heard on **3.3.2021**.

2. The complainant is absent without intimation. It is notable here that the complainant Gurmail Singh, has constantly refused to receive this Commission's notices for successive hearings which were sent to him by registered post.

3. The respondent PIO, represented by Manpreet Singh Brar, SDO Lubanianwali, present through Video Conference Facility from Sri Muktsar Sahib, submitted that the RTI application was incorrectly submitted to his office, whereas the PIO officially designated by the department is the Superintending Engineer. He stated that complainant was duly informed about this. However, subsequent to receiving this Commission's Notice of 5.1.2201, Manpreet Singh Barar, SDO Lubanianwali, sought instructions from PIO-cum-Superintending Engineer, who expressly instructed that no information was to be shared with the complainant as it pertained to a third party.

Contd. ...2

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Complaint Case No. 770 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

4. Under the circumstances, this Commission is of the view that Manpreet Singh Brar, SDO Lubanianwali, acted correctly and tried to address the RTI application despite the fact that he was not the designated PIO.

5. There is no further cause for action and this complaint case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh

7.7.2021

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Hakam Singh,

S/o Sh. Darshan Singh,

Madhir, Tehsil Gidderbaha,

District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Versus

Public Information officer,

o/o Divisional Forest Officer,

District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,

o/o Forest and Wildlife Protection Department,

Forest Branch-2, Forest Bhawan,

Sector-68, Ajitgarh, (Mohali).

Appeal Case No. 1215 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

Hardeep Singh, Range Officer (for the Respondent) 94172-54574

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **12.10.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information **by way of the musterolls for labour pertaining to MNREGA projects etc.**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **31.12.2020**, and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **5.3.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice to the parties for first hearing was issued on **7.7.2021**.

2. The appellant is absent but has informed the Commission that he has received the requested information and is satisfied with it. The respondent PIO, represented by Hardeep Singh, Range Officer, is present through Video Conference Facility from Sri Muktsar Sahib, submitted that the information was supplied to the appellant by way of two replies dated 23.12.2020 (letter No. 5402) and 20.3.2021 (letter No. 7112).

3. The respondent PIO is directed to send all replies and information that were sent to the appellant, to this Commission. However, in light of the appellant's email dated 7.7.2021, there is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh

7.7.2021

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Tejinder Singh, Advocate,
Civil Court, Tehsil Complex,
Backside Sanjh Kendar, Phillaur – 144410

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Sub Divisional Magistrate,
District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,
o/o Sub Divisional Magistrate,
District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Appeal Case No. 2392 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

Rajwinder Singh, PIO-cum-Superintendent (for the Respondent) 99150-05556

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 3.3.2021)

1. The RTI application is dated **1.5.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding registration of vehicles etc. pertaining to the period November 2019 to February 2020**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **1.6.2020**, and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **1.9.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **3.3.2021**.

2. The appellant is absent. The respondent PIO-cum-Superintendent, Rajwinder Singh, is present through Video Conference Facility from Sri Muktsar Sahib, stated that the appellant inspected the record available on Parivahan Portal in his office on 26.3.2021 and signed a statement that he has received the information. He has sent a copy of the appellant's statement to the Commission.

3. Under the circumstances, there is no cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh
7.7.2021

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Er. Nalin Tayal,

Director, Gats India Ltd & President,
The Gats Urban Cooperative & Credit Society Ltd.,
SCO 1-3 B, Swami Vivekanand Vridhasharam Market,
Block B, Model Town Extension,
District Ludhiana.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Deputy Commissioner,
District Administration Complex,
District Sri Muktsar Sahib – 152 026

First Appellate Authority,

o/o Deputy Commissioner,
District Administration Complex,
District Sri Muktsar Sahib – 152 026

Appeal Case No. 2460 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Er. Nalin Tayal (Appellant) 78376-00470

Balkaran Singh, PIO-cum-DRO (Respondent) 94171-14842

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 3.3.2021)

1. The RTI application is dated **27.2.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding his letter dated 4.12.2019 and emails dated 4.12.2019, 25.12.2019 and 8.2.2020, seeking copies of all comments, notings, proceedings pertaining to the said correspondence**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **22.5.2020**, and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **3.9.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **3.3.2021**.

2. Both parties are present. The appellant attended the hearing through Cisco Webex. The respondent PIO-cum-DRO, Balkaran Singh, is present through Video Conference Facility from Sri Muktsar Sahib, has stated that reply along with the information requested was sent to the appellant. As directed at the last hearing on 3.3.2021, the respondent PIO has submitted attested copies of all the information sent to the appellant, to this Commission. The appellant however, claimed that he has received no information so far.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Appeal Case No. 2460 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

3. The information received in the Commission was sent to the appellant via Whatsapp for examination. In reply, the appellant has informed the Commission vide email that he is satisfied with the information supplied.

4. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh

7.7.2021

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Gurmail Singh Sekhon,

S/o Gajjan Singh,

Village Alam Wala,

Tehsil Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Deputy Commissioner,

District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,

o/o Deputy Commissioner,

District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Appeal Case No. 3021 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Gurmail Singh Sekhon (Appellant) 94638-03389

Balkaran Singh, PIO-cum-DRO (Respondent) 94171-14842

ORDER:

*(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **3.3.2021**)*

1. The RTI application is dated **18.7.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding a complaint to the DC dated 19.2.2020 pertaining to the illegal occupation of land in village Alamwala of Tehsil Malout**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **19.8.2020**, and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **14.10.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **3.3.2021**.

2. Both parties are present through Video Conference Facility from Sri Muktsar Sahib. The respondent PIO-cum-DRO, Balkaran Singh, stated that the requested information has been supplied to the appellant. The appellant is also present at this hearing confirmed that he has received the information and satisfied with it. The respondent PIO is directed to send a copy of the reply sent to the appellant, to this Commission at the earliest.

3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh

7.7.2021